

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 148693.11117 5995 09/912,288 07/24/2001 Shiao-Shien Chen **EXAMINER** 7590 04/06/2004 THOMAS T. MOGA LEJA, RONALD W DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1901 L STREET NW. SUITE 800 2836 WASHINGTON,, DC 20036

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/912,288	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ronald W Leja	2836	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE: .</li></ul>			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10,13,14,17 and 18</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Pages 2 and 3.</u>		Canal AM L	va.
		Ronald W Leja  Primary Examiner	/*V
		Art Unit: 2836	4//////

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Application/Control Number: 09/912,288

Art Unit: 2836

The After Final Amendment of 3/3/04 has been entered. Applicant's arguments have been considered, but are not deemed persuasive. As pointed out in the Final Office Action of 12/1/03, Hurst et al. was relied upon solely for the teachings of the details of an SCR/thyristor comprising bipolar transistors and resistors. Examiner would have relied upon the Prior Art Figure 4 of Narita et al., but believes that the transistor configurations illustrated in the Prior Art Figure 4 to be in error, and as such, relied upon the teachings of Hurst et al. for a correct teaching, so as to avoid any confusion. Figure 9 of Narita et al. clearly illustrates the thyristor and zener diode orientation between a bonding pad, cathode gate and common discharge line as required by Independent Claims 13 and 17, with the sole exception of the bipolar transistor details of the thyristor. The well known bipolar transistor details were relied upon by the Hurst et al. Reference and not specific details of how and why Hurst et al. were offering triggering protection to pins of an IC. Arguments drawn to the additional components found within Narita et al. are considered irrelevant, as the instant claim language is openended, thereby, not preventing the inclusion of any additional elements. Any comparisons between discharge current flows between the instant invention and the Reference are also considered to have little or no probative value, as the instant claim language does not restrict any discharge current flow. Whether the structure and discharge current of Narita et al. is different from that of the instant

Application/Control Number: 09/912,288

Art Unit: 2836

invention is of no moment, since such differences are not restricted by the instant claim language.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald W Leja whose telephone number is (571)272-2053. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (571)272-2800. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2836

Page 3

rwl April 1, 2004